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#### Abstract

Theoretical studies on $1 H$-indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) were performed to investigate the conformational properties of dimeric species and vibrational spectra. Experimental infrared spectra at 100 K and 297 K and Raman spectrum at 297 K were analyzed and compared against calculations performed at B3LYP/6-31G** level. A exploratory study of the conformational space of dimeric species was performed. Our analysis showed that dimeric forms predicted theoretically contribute distinctively to the assignments of experimental results. These structures are defined by the orientation of the acetyl moieties with respect to the plane of indole ring. The dimers are formed by two symmetrical IAA monomers (one of them with the acetyl moiety upward oriented, Re-face, and the other isomer having the acetyl moiety downward oriented, Si-


[^0]face) in tail-to-tail way. The X-ray geometry and FTIR vibrational frequencies were compared with the results of DFT calculations. A conformational equilibrium involving the non-equivalent IAA dimers: CCT-CCT, $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$, $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$, and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ was found. The relation of the conformational properties of the IAA molecule with the features of the vibrational spectra was described in detail. The band assignments were discussed as related to the conformations properties. Our analysis shows the significance of the theoretical study of the conformational space of the monomeric molecule in the rationalization of experimental results.
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## Introduction

The indole ring of the IAA (Fig. 1) occurs in many compounds that exhibit very important biological activity, e.g., hormonal activity (plant growth regulator: auxin compounds), and serotonergic activity (tryptamine, serotonine, etc). A heteroaromatic indole ring, and a carboxylic side chain are the two systems that combine to form IAA. As the monofunctional carboxylic acids regularly form cyclic dimers with a eight-membered planar ring with two intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}^{\cdots} \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, we can expect the same intermolecular H-bonds between IAA monomers thus constituting IAA dimers. Several references report on the identification and quantitation of IAA. Usually, the theoretical study has been addressed to show the behavior and properties of substituted IAA. Many studies have been carried out by different experimental and theoretical methodology, among them, a chemometric and molecular


Fig. 1 Heteroaromatic planar indole ring and an acetyl side chain of IAA backbone. Dihedral angles $\left(\delta_{1}: \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}, \delta_{2}\right.$ : $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{10}, \delta_{3}: \mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ defines distinct orientations of acetyl side chain with respect to the ring
modeling study of IAA derivatives with auxin activity has been reported [1, 2]. Another similar study related to IAA was reported by the same authors [3]. In the mentioned papers only the crystal structure was taken into account in the optimization process for monomeric and dimeric molecules.

Other authors [4] encountered a twinned crystal for IAA. This case of twinning is characterized by the fact that one diagonal of the face is of the same length as one of the axes bordering the face. Consequently, the diagonal face can be an axis of the twin unit cell, whereas the other axis is reversed. Although other authors have also determined the structure of IAA [5-8], none of them described any twinning at all.

The IR spectrum was studied in similar compounds, such as indole-2-carboxylic (ICA) and 5-methoxyindole-2-carboxylic acids (5-MeOICA). The structure analysis of ICA revealed that two chains of ICA molecules form a planar ribbon, held together by intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds. Both the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ groups act as donors, while the O atom of the carboxylic group is the acceptor of two hydrogen bonds [9]. The structure analysis of 5-MeOICA showed molecular ribbons constituted by two independent molecular chains held together also by intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond interactions. The carboxylic O atom is also the acceptor of two hydrogen bonds [10]. In both cases molecular structures have been resolved using single crystal X-ray diffraction, infrared spectroscopy, and theoretical methods. The assignment was performed only for strong bands.

To our knowledge, a detailed assignment of vibrational modes of the experimental infrared and Raman spectra of IAA is lacking in literature. The aim of this work is to analyze the conformational and vibrational properties of the dimeric forms of IAA. The infrared and Raman spectroscopy at 297
and 100 K , and 297 K , respectively, are carried on. The possible dimeric conformations coexisting in the sample were characterized using the conformational space of monomeric molecules scanned previously by us [11]. The vibrational spectra of the minimum energy conformers in the dimeric forms were calculated using the density functional theory (DFT) and the assignments of the vibrational modes actives in the infrared and Raman spectra are performed. The effects of conformational changes are addressed. Moreover the IRRaman spectra of IAA monomers are also calculated to analyze the effects of dimerization.

## Experimental

The IAA was provided by Aldrich Chemical Company and purified by recrystallization from methanol / water and dried on phosphorus pentoxide. The purity was determined by CGL.

Infrared absorption spectra at 297 and 100 K of 1 cm diameter pellets, made of the IAA microcrystals, diluted in spectroscopic grade KBr , were recorded with a PerkinElmer GX1 FTIR interferometer in the spectral range of 400 to $-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with a resolution of $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The samples were mounted on the cold finger of an Oxford DN 1754 cryostat.

Raman spectrum, using the 1064 nm laser line of a Nd : YAG laser as exciting source, were obtained from pure powders at 297 K in a backscattering configuration of the Bruker 66 FTIR Raman accessory.

## Computational details

The conformational space for IAA monomers in vacuum was studied previously by us [11] using the MD module of the HyperChem package [12]. The geometries obtained from simulation were then optimized to an energy gradient less than $0.004 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$ at a semiempirical level (AM1). The lowest-energy conformers of the monomeric molecules were further studied using the density functional theory as implemented in the Gaussian 03 package [13]. Geometry optimizations were performed using the Becke's three parameter hybrid functional [14] with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional [15], a combination that gives rise to the well-known B3LYP method. For all the atoms the 6$31 \mathrm{G}^{* *}$ basis set was used.

Taking into account the disposition of the conformers in the dimer present in the crystal (Cambridge Structure Database or CSD code: INACET03) several initial structures of dimers were considered. They were constructed combining the lowest energy monomers found by MD procedure.

The vibrational spectra of the minimum energy conformers both in the monomeric and dimeric forms were then calculated using the density functional theory (DFT) at the B3LYP/6-31G** level of theory.

## Results and discussion

Geometrical and conformational analysis of IAA
The backbone of IAA consists of a heteroaromatic planar indole ring and an acetyl side chain (at position 3) that can adopt several distinct orientations with respect to the ring defined by three dihedral angles ( $\delta_{1}$ : $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}, \delta_{2}$ : $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{10}, \delta_{3}: \mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ (Fig. 1). Variations on dihedral angles give rise to several different conformers. Through the study of the conformational space in vacuum we found 14 lowest energy conformers with symmetry $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, which in stability order are: a) CCT, b) $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$, c) $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$, d) $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT} / \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$, e) $\mathrm{G}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T} /$ $\mathrm{G}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{TC} / \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{TC}$, f) CCC , and g) $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CC} / \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CC}$ [11]. They were named according to IUPAC recommendations [16], and were confirmed by absence of imaginary frequencies in the vibrational analysis.

Six pairs of them account for structures of the same energy, but differing in the orientation of the acetyl group with respect to the plane of the indole ring: one of them with the acetyl moiety upward oriented, Re-face, and the other isomer having the acetyl moiety downward oriented, $S i$-face. Each pair shows torsion angles $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ and $\delta_{3}$ of contrary sign [11].

The single crystal X-ray diffraction study indicates the occurrence of $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ conformers in the crystal. Selected experimental bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 1, along with the corresponding differences from theoretical values calculated at B3LYP/6-31G** level. The agreement between the theoretical and experimental results is quite good. Some deviations from the experimental values can be attributed to intermolecular hydrogen bonds, and other packing interactions not considered in vacuum calculations. A general shortening of the bond lengths in the crystal structure was found. As is expected for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ lengths the higher deviation between the calculated and experimental bond lengths was noted, because their bond lengths are crystallographically underestimated due to vibrations in crystal and X-ray diffraction (location of the electron density centroids instead of atomic centers) [17]. According to Table 1, the experimental $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}$ bond length $(1.311 \AA)$ was shorter than theoretical value by $0.048 \AA$, and the $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ angle increased by about $12.9^{\circ}$. These findings can be attributed to the resonance and the intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions in the crystal.

Table 1 Bond lengths $[\AA]$ and bond angles [ $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ determined for IAA by X-ray diffraction and the differences from the corresponding theoretical parameters calculated for $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}$ monomer and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ dimer at B3LYP/6-31G** level

|  | Exp. | $\Delta_{\text {A }}{ }^{+}{ }_{\text {CT }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 1.362 | -0.020 | -0.020 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 1.364 | -0.008 | -0.007 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}$ | 1.428 | -0.015 | -0.014 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}$ | 1.394 | -0.028 | -0.028 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1.384 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 1.403 | -0.003 | -0.002 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 1.377 | -0.012 | -0.012 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 1.387 | -0.023 | -0.023 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 1.374 | -0.016 | -0.016 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}$ | 1.386 | -0.013 | -0.013 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | 1.480 | -0.017 | -0.015 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | 1.509 | -0.011 | -0.013 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}$ | 1.311 | -0.048 | -0.009 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{10}$ | 1.210 | 0.001 | -0.019 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ | 0.814 | -0.158 | -0.192 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.974 | -0.121 | -0.123 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 0.917 | -0.183 | -0.181 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.034 | -0.052 | -0.052 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ | 0.948 | -0.138 | -0.139 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{H}$ | 0.957 | -0.129 | -0.129 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.075 | -0.011 | -0.011 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.019 | -0.062 | -0.062 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}$ | 0.791 | -0.216 | -0.216 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{10}$ | 112.7 | -9.8 | -11.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | 113.5 | 2.9 | 1.2 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{10}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | 123.9 | -3.1 | 0.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ | 118.7 | 12.9 | 8.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 126.4 | 0.9 | -0.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 109.7 | -0.3 | -0.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}$ | 108.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}$ | 124.7 | -4.7 | -4.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 133.3 | -0.8 | -0.7 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 130.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 117.2 | -0.2 | -0.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{H}$ | 121.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 121.0 | -0.2 | -0.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}$ | 119.3 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 121.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ | 112.4 | -6.8 | -6.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}$ | 117.9 | -0.9 | -0.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 133.3 | -0.8 | -0.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}$ | 109.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}$ | 136.2 | 10.9 | 10.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | 95.5 | -21.1 | -9.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}$ | -171.3 | -6.3 | -4.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{10}$ | 9.2 | -7.7 | 5.4 |

Table 1 (continued)

|  | Exp. | $\Delta_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{+}{ }^{\mathrm{CTT}}$ | $\Delta_{\mathrm{A}^{+}{ }^{+}{ }^{\text {CT-A }}{ }^{-}{ }^{\text {CT }}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ | -163.4 | 14.3 | 14.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 157.9 | -12.5 | -6.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}$ | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}$ | -6.6 | -5.9 | -6.5 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}$ | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 1.1 | -0.8 | 0.6 |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 178.1 | -1.3 | -1.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | -86.3 | -19.7 | -11.0 |

It should be emphasized that the rest of the calculated bond angles showed generally good agreement with experimental data.

According to the experimental and theoretical results, the indole ring is almost planar, for example, the $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}$ torsional angle is nearly zero $\left(0.1^{\circ}\right)$.

The overall view of X-ray dimer of IAA with atom labeling is shown in Fig. 2. This figure shows that the dimer is formed by the $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ conformers, which are held together by two $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, with OH moiety behaving as proton donor and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ moiety as proton acceptor. Taking into account the disposition of the conformers present in the crystal several initial structures of dimers were considered. They were built using the structures of the monomers found by MD search [11] and combining them, taking CCT-CCT, $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$, $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$, and $\mathrm{G}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{G}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$ molecules oriented in the "tail-to-tail" way. Geometry optimization of the dimers performed at the B3LYP/6-31G** level of theory was followed by the calculations of the vibrational frequencies, confirming them as local minima (Fig. 3).

The relative energies of the identified dimers with respect to the most stable (CCT-CCT) were $0.84,2.51$ and $5.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$, and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$, respectively. A natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis allowed us to explain the stability order of the IAA monomers [11]. The stability order of dimers can be rationalized on the basis of the same structural factors and of the similar associated charge delocalization phenomena described through specific hyperconjugative charge transfers [11].

The relative population at 297 and 100 K calculated with Boltzman distribution shows that the CCT-CCT dimer has a relative conformational population of $45.6 \%$ at room temperature, while for the less stable dimers relative populations of $31.2 \%, 17.3 \%$, and $5.9 \%$, were found. It has to be remarked that at 100 K the relative population of the CCT-CCT dimer increases with a decrease in the population of the $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$, and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ forms.

A comparison between the bond lengths and bond angles of $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ dimer with respect X -ray data are also shown in Table 1. For $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}$, dihedral angles $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}, \mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{10}$ differed from those in the CSDINACET03 crystal in $-21.1^{\circ},-12.5^{\circ},-19.7^{\circ}$ and $-7.7^{\circ}$, respectively and for $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ dimer that dihedral angles differed in $-9.7,-6.4,-11.0$ and 5.4 respectively. The differences are lower in dimer than in monomer which is related to dimerization phenomena, resonance and intermolecular interactions mentioned above.

Other relevant parameters for dimers are displayed in Table 2; between parentheses those geometric parameters which vary in monomer with respect to dimer are indicated. The geometrical features suggest the following possible intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions: $\mathrm{O}_{10} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{11^{\prime}}$ (and the symmetrical one $\mathrm{O}_{10^{\prime}} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{11}$ ) and $\mathrm{O}_{10} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in CCT-CCT dimer, $\mathrm{O}_{10} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+}$T- $\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$ dimer, $\mathrm{O}_{11} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ dimer, and their symmetrical ones $\left(\mathrm{O}_{10^{\prime}} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{2^{\prime}}, \mathrm{O}_{10^{\prime}} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{4^{\prime}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{O}_{11^{\prime}} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{4^{\prime}}$ respectively). In our previous work similar intramolecular hydrogen bonding interactions were characterized in the corresponding IAA monomers through the theory of atoms in molecules (AIM) [11].

## Vibrational spectra

In this section, we analyze the experimental infrared and Raman spectra at 297 K (Fig. 4). Since the calculated frequencies are higher than the experimental ones, mostly


Fig. 2 Cyclic bond dimer from crystal structure optimized at B3LYP/ 6-31G** level


Fig. 3 Four most stable conformers of IAA dimer. The strucutres are defined by the orientation of the acetyl moieties with respect to the plane of indole ring. Dimers 2-4 are formed by two symmetrical IAA monomers one of them having the acetyl downward oriented (Si-face monomers, (a)) and the other isomer having the acetyl upward
oriented (Re-face monomers, (b)). Monomers are labeled according to IUPAC recommendations: the first letter characterizes the orientation of the $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ atom relative to the central $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}$ bond, the second letter describes the $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{10}$ angle, and the third letter specifies the orientation of the H relative to the central $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}$
due to limitations in the basis set, the approximated treatment of the electron correlation, and anharmonicity effects, we scaled the calculated values to reproduce the observed frequencies using a proper scaling factor taken from the Computational Chemistry Comparison and Benchmark Database (CCCBDB) [18]. The measured and calculated wavenumbers of active modes in infrared (at 100 and 297 K) and Raman (at 297 K) spectroscopy for IAA dimer are shown and described in Table 3 and in Supplementary material a detailed analysis is done.

The infrared spectra of IAA (Fig. S1, Supplementary Material) showed softening ( $\Delta=-$ ) and hardening ( $\Delta=+$ ) of several vibrational modes, thus implying subtle structural changes in the molecule as it cools down. In Supplementary material in depth description is displayed.

The assignments show two characteristics that are typically evidence for the presence of IAA dimers:
i) When the dimeric molecule is considered as a whole, two carbonyl stretching wavenumbers (symmetric and asymmetric) are expected. The dimeric molecule has a center of
symmetry, so the symmetric carbonyl stretching should be Raman active only, and the asymmetric stretching should be infrared active only [19]. In the infrared spectrum wavenumbers from 1720 to $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ correspond to the asymmetric $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching [19-22], in IAA this band showed a splitting with peaks at 1689 and $1702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In Raman spectrum the band corresponding to the symmetric $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching should appears at 1680-1640 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ [19, 23], in IAA, a corresponding peak was found at $1641 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. When the carbonyl is hydrogen bonded, but not dimerized, a band should appear at $1730-1705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in both infrared and Raman spectra [19, 21].
ii) The IR wavenumber of the $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ mode is slightly lower than the wavenumber of the non-associated carboxylic $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group $1800-1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ [19-21]. The IAA values of the corresponding vibrational mode (1689 and $1702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, above mentioned) also indicates that in IAA the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group is involved in an intermolecular hydrogen bonding as was suggested by geometrical analysis (Table 3).

Table 2 Others relevant geometrical parameters obtained of the lowest energy IAA dimers calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G** level

| Dimers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CCT-CCT | $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ | Experimental |
| Distances ( $\AA$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{10} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.651 | 1.629 | 1.621 | 1.633 | 1.871 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{10} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.368 (2.363) |  |  |  | 3.783 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{10} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{4}$ |  | 2.765 (2.734) |  | 3.700 (2.939) | 3.859 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{11} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{4}$ |  |  | 2.748 (2.752) |  | 4.230 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ | $1.232(1.212)^{\text {c }}$ | 1.234 (1.212) | 1.232 (1.210) | 1.230 (1.209) | 1.210 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ | 1.321 (1.357) | 1.316 (1.355) | 1.318 (1.357) | 1.320 (1.359) | 1.311 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.004 (0.972) | 1.008 (0.972) | 1.009 (0.972) | 1.007 (0.972) | 0.814 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.087 | 1.085 | 1.085 | 1.087 (1.085) | 0.948 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1.078 | 1.081 | 1.081 | 1.081 | 1.019 |
| Angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 178.8 | 179.2 | 179.2 | 180.0 | 160.3 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{10} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 108.3 (109.4) |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{10} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ |  | 122.2 (124.5) |  | 92.6 (104.0) | 29.5 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{11} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ |  |  | 119.7 (117.5) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ | 110.3 (106.0) | 110.5 (105.9) | 110.6 (106.1) | 110.4 (105.9) | 118.7 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{10}$ | 123.6 (121.9) | 124.4 (122.5) | 124.4 (122.5) | 124.4 (122.4) | 122.7 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 104.2 (104.2) | 108.7 (108.6) | 108.7 (108.5) | 105.3 (105.3) | 113.7 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{O}_{10} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{11}$ distance is equivalent by symmetry
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Distances greater than $3.700 \AA$ are not mentioned
${ }^{c}$ Between parentheses those geometrical parameters which vary in monomer with respect to dimer are indicated
${ }^{d} \mathrm{O}_{11},-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{10}$ angle is equivalent by symmetry


Fig. 4 Experimental infrared and Raman spectra at 297 K of $1 H$-indole-3-acetic acid

Table 3 Calculated, observed and assigned wavenumbers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of active modes in infrared (297 and 100 K ) and Raman ( 297 K ) for IAA dimer

| Theoretical wavenumbers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Experimental wavenumbers ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | Assignments ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infrared | Raman | Infrared |  | Raman |  |
|  |  | 297K | 100K | 297K |  |
| 422 | 421 | 425s | 426s | 423w | $\delta \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{11 \text { sciss }}+\tau 6 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 434 | 434 | 449w | 451w | 449w | $\tau 6 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 525 | 527 | 516s | 523, 532s | 534 m | $\gamma \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11 \text { wag }}+\delta 6 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 570 | 567 | 583m | 584, 586m | 578 m | 86R |
| 590 | 586 | 601 m | 601 m | 598 m | $\delta 6 \mathrm{R}+\delta 5 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 608 | 606 | 627 s | 630s | 620w | $\tau 6 \mathrm{R}+\tau 5 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 677 | 662 | 684m | 686m | 675 m | $\delta \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11 \text { sciss }}$ |
| 731 | 731 | 738, 742vs | 739, 742vs | 740 m | $\gamma \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}(+)+\gamma \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}(+)+\gamma \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}(+)+\gamma \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}(+)$ |
| 744 | 743 | 754 m | 754 m | 760 vs | $\tau 6 \mathrm{R}+\tau 5 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 797 | 795 | 788 s | 787, 790s | 785 vs | $\gamma \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 832 | 832 | 843m | 845 m | 844 m | $\gamma \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}(-)+\gamma \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}(-)+\gamma \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}(+)+\gamma \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}(+)$ |
| 862 | 861 | 877w | 878w | 876 s | $\delta 6 \mathrm{R}+\delta 5 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 885 | 883 | 904m | 907m | 905 m | $\nu \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{C}_{9}+\delta \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11 \text { sciss }}$ |
| 991 | 954 | 933 ms | 943 s | 928 m | $\gamma \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 1010 | 1010 | 1007 m | 1007 m | 1007 vs | $\delta 6 \mathrm{R}+\delta 5 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 1052 | 1052 | 1068m | 1068m | 1068m | $\delta 6 \mathrm{R}+\delta 5 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 1120 | 1120 | 1100s | 1101s | 1105w | $\delta \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}+\delta \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}+\delta \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}+\delta \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}+\delta \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 1145 | 1145 | 1128 vw | 1128 vw | 1127 m | $\delta \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}+\delta \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}+\delta \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}+\delta \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 1173 | 1173 |  |  | 1189 m | $\gamma \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{(2) \text { twist }}$ |
| 1210 | 1209 | 1208s, 1211s | 1210s, 1213 s | 1205w | $\gamma \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{(2) \mathrm{wag}}+\delta 6 \mathrm{R}+\delta 5 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 1246 | 1243 | 1225 vs | 1226 vs | 1218vw | $\checkmark \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ |
| 1296 | 1295 | 1250s | 1255s | 1253m | $\nu \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{C}_{9}+\delta \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 1339 | 1338 | 1305s | 1310s | 1307w | $v \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}+\nu \mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}+\delta 6 \mathrm{R}+\delta 5 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 1342 | 1342 | 1335s | 1337s | 1337s | $\delta 6 \mathrm{R}+\delta 5 \mathrm{R}$ |
| 1351 | 1351 | 1361s | 1362s | 1361vs | $\gamma \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{(2) \text { wag }}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{6}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ |
| 1360 | 1360 | 1407vs | 1407vs | 1404w | $\delta \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 1418 | 1418 | 1421s | 1425s | 1427 vs | $\delta \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{(2) \text { sciss }}$ |
| 1452 | 1452 | 1457vs | 1457vs | 1457s | $v \mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{5}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{7}$ |
| 1491 | 1491 | 1489m | 1488m | 1493m | $\nu \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{5}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{7}$ |
| 1564 | 1564 | 1559w | 1559w | 1558 vs | $v \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{6}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}$ |
| 1585 | 1585 | 1576vw | 1576vw | 1576m | $v \mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{C}_{4}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{6}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{7}+v \mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}+v \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}+\delta \mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 1629 | 1629 | 1618w | 1619w | 1618s | $\nu \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{5}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{C}_{7}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ |
| 1737 | 1683 | 1689 vs, 1702 vs | $1692 \mathrm{vs}, 1698 \mathrm{vs}$ | 1641w | $\nu \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ |
|  | 2919 |  |  | 2915vs | $\checkmark \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{H}$ in-phase |
| 3035 |  |  |  |  | $\vee \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{H}$ out-of-phase |
| 2957 | 2957 | 2851v | 2847m | 2938 m | $\nu^{C_{8}} \mathrm{H}_{(2) \text { sym }}$ |
| 2991 | 2991 | 2914m | 2913m |  | $v \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{\text {(2)asym }}$ |
| 3081 | 3081 |  |  |  | $v \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}+v \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 3087 | 3087 |  |  |  | $v \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}+v \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 3097 | 3097 | 3040m | 3128 vs |  | $v \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}+v \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 3109 | 3109 | 3053m | 3132vs | 3060 vs | $v \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}+v \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 3160 | 3160 |  |  | 3123w | $\nu \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 3579 | 3579 | 3388 vs | 3378 vs | 3388w | $\checkmark \mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{H}$ in-phase |
| 3579 | 3579 | 3394vs | 3386vs |  | $\mathrm{vN}_{1} \mathrm{H}$ out-of-phase |

[^1]Comparison of experimental Raman spectrum of IAA at 297 K and the DFT (B3LYP)/6-31G** calculated spectra for: CCT-CCT, $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$, and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-$ $\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ dimers is shown in Fig. 5. As can be seen, the four predicted dimers (CCT-CCT, $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ ) contribute distinctively to the assignment and interpretation of the experimental results.

When we follow the behavior of dihedral angle $\delta_{2}$ interesting aspects are revealed. In fact, we can associate the CCT-CCT dimer with the $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}^{-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}}$ dimer, onward "series C" (periplanar array) according as that torsion angle is within $0^{\circ}$ to $\pm 30^{\circ}$, and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ dimer with $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-$
$\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-T}$ dimer, onward "series A" (clinal array) according as that torsion angle is within $\pm 60^{\circ}$ to $\pm 120^{\circ}$ (see Fig. 6). Our results show that these structural characteristics are significant in the behavior of vibrational modes defining similar trends within each series, see Table 4. In this table the modes mainly affected by conformational changes and dimerization are shown. The bands labeling in Fig. 5 corresponds to their ordering in the Table 4 and to their ordering in the following analysis.

The band of medium intensity observed at $675 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the experimental Raman spectrum correspond to in-plane angular deformation mode of $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11}$, observed at

Fig. 5 Experimental Raman spectrum of IAA at 297 K and DFT (B3LYP)/6-31G** calculated spectra for: $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$, CCT-CCT, $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}^{-}$ $\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$. Bands labeling corresponds to their ordering in Table 4



Series C


Series A

Fig. 6 Scheme of series A and C which differs in the dihedral angle $\delta_{2}$
$682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in "series A" and at $\approx 661 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in "series C ". Therefore the differences found in calculated frequencies of $\delta \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ mode are related to the values of dihedral angle $\delta_{2}$, thus showing sensitivity with respect to the conformational changes. Our results also showed that this mode changed upon dimerization thus showing an increasement (blue shifting) of $\approx 99 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the dimeric form (see Table 4, label " 1 "). This finding corresponds to an increase of $\approx 1.9^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ angle in IAA dimer (see Table 2) as expected [23].

The band detected at $905 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is ascribed to the stretching mode of $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ and in-plane angular deformation mode of $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ (labeled as " 2 "). In "series A" that band appeared at $900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and in "series $C$ " that band appeared between 882 and $891 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The frequencies in the dimers were blue shifted with respect to the corresponding monomers (increasement of $\approx 29 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The mentioned
increase of $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ angle in IAA dimer can also be related to this behavior.

The band of medium intensity at $928 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was assigned to the out-of-plane angular deformation mode of $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ (labeled as " 3 "). In dimers this mode was highly shifted to higher frequencies (blue shifting of $\approx 316 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).

Other band of medium intensity at $1189 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ arises from $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{(2)}$ twisting deformation mode (labeled as "4"). In "series A" this mode appeared at $\approx 1147 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and in "series C" at 1199 in CCT-CCT dimer and at $1173 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{A}^{+}$CT-$\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ dimer.

The very weak band at $1218 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was associated to the $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}$ stretching mode (labeled as " 5 "). In "series A " this mode appeared at $1301 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and in "series C " at $\approx$ $1245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}$ stretching mode in dimers was highly shifted to higher frequencies (blue shifting of $\approx$ $170 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). As expected a concomitant decrease of $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}$ bond length upon dimerization (see in Table 2) was found [24, 25].

The weak band at $1404 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is associated to $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ inplane bending mode (labeled as " 6 "). This vibration in dimers was highly shifted to higher frequencies (blue shifting of $\approx 116 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). A concomitant increase of $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ angle was found (see Table 2) [24, 25]. Blue shifting in "series C" with respect "series A" was found.

The strong band at $1427 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can be attributed to the $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{(2)}$ scissoring deformation mode (labeled as " 7 "). This mode appeared at $\approx 1436 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in "series $A$ " and at $\approx$ $1420 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in "series C". An associated decrease of $\approx 4^{\circ}$ in

Table 4 Selected Raman wavenumbers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ calculated at B3LYP/6-31G** level of theory for IAA dimers and monomers

| Label ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Assignments ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Theoretical Raman wavenumbers ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CCT-CCT } \\ & \left(\Delta \nu_{\text {monomer-dimer }}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}_{-\mathrm{A}^{-}} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$ <br> ( $\Delta \nu_{\text {monomer-dimer }}$ ) | $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ <br> ( $\Delta \nu_{\text {monomer-dimer }}$ ) | $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ <br> ( $\Delta \nu_{\text {monomer-dimer }}$ ) |
| 1 | $\delta \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11 \text { sciss }}$ | 661 (-85) | 682 (-110) | 682 (-110) | 662 (-91) |
| 2 | $\nu \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{C}_{9}+\delta \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11 \text { sciss }}$ | 891 (-27) | 900 (-31) | 900 (-32) | 882 (-26) |
| 3 | $\gamma \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{H}$ | 924 (-280) | 959 (-338) | 965 (-345) | 954 (-301) |
| 4 | $\gamma \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{(2) \text { twist }}$ | 1199 (-4) | 1154 (-) | 1140 (-) | 1173 (8) |
| 5 | $\sim^{2} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ | 1247 (-142) | 1301 (-200) | 1301 (-207) | 1243 (-132) |
| 6 | $\delta \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{H}$ | 1379 (-106) | 1355 (-152) | 1326 (-117) | 1360 (-90) |
| 7 | $\delta \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{(2) \text { sciss }}$ | 1423 (1) | 1436 (1) | 1437 (1) | 1418 (1) |
| 8 | $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{C}_{7}+\nu \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 1561 (0) | 1557 (0) | 1556 (-2) | 1564 (-2) |
| 9 | $\checkmark \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{H}$ | 2976 (689) | 2900 (759) | 2872 (788) | 2919 (742) |
| 10 | $\sim^{\text {c }} \mathrm{H}_{\text {(2)sym }}$ | 2926 (8) | 2976 (-3) | 2974 (-2) | 2957 (-27) |
| 11 | $\sim^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 3209 (-2) | 3165 (-1) | 3166 (-1) | 3160 (0) |

[^2]$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ angle in "series C" with respect to "series A" was reported (Table 2).

The very strong band at $1558 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ rings stretching modes (labeled as " 8 "). These modes appeared at $\approx 1556 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in "series A" and at $\approx 1562 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in "series C". Thus, this region is only slightly sensitive to the conformational changes. In monomers similar trends were found.

Intense band at $2915 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is described as due to stretching mode of $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ (labeled as " 9 "). Blue shifting in "series C" with respect to "series A" was found. Moreover this mode allowed us to differentiate between $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$ dimers. The $v \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ mode in $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}_{-\mathrm{A}^{-}} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ dimer appeared at $2872 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the corresponding to $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$ dimer appeared at $2900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The lower frequency is related to the higher bond length (see Table 2) and explained through the intramolecular $\mathrm{O}_{11} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and the intermolecular $\mathrm{O}_{10^{\prime}} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{H}$-bonds presents in $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ dimer. The $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ moiety plays as the proton acceptor in the former H -bond and as the proton donor in the latter H bond. Therefore the dual role of the $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ moiety is related to the highest red shifting of the $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching frequency. This mode is shifted to lower frequencies when the dimer is constituted (red shifting of $730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ on average).

Therefore our results show that the dimerization phenomena leads to increased $\mathrm{O}_{10}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angles; which can be related to a blue shifting of associated bending modes $\left(\delta \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right.$ and $\left.\delta \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{H}\right)$. Moreover a decrease (increase) in $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}\left(\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ bond distance was found; which can be related with a blue (red) shifting of associated stretching mode.

Symmetric stretching vibration of $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{(2)}$ were recorded and assigned as the band of medium intensity located at $2938 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Lower frequencies at "series C" were found.

In our previous work we performed a thorough NBO analysis of monomeric forms which allowed us to explain the features that characterize and differentiate the "series A" with respect to "series C" [11]. It is worth noting that the same description can be used to analyze the observed blue or red shifting of the vibrational frequencies belonging to "series C" with respect to "series A", allowing us to get deeper insights in the light of charge delocalization effects. For example, the red shifting of $v \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ in "series C " can be related with higher charge transfer from $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{8}$ bonding orbital to the $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}$ antibonding orbital, similarly the red shifting of $v \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{H}$ in "series A " can be related with higher charge transfer from $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}$ bonding orbital to the $\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ antibonding orbital [11].

The other band of medium intensity at $3123 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ arises from the stretching mode of $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}$. This mode appeared at $\approx 3164 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$, and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-$
$\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ dimers; besides in CCT-CCT dimer this mode is blue shifted in $\approx 45 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. These findings allowed us to differentiate between conformer CCT-CCT from all the others.

The better reproduction of measured values are achieved by $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ dimer, as can be seen in Fig. 5, thus according to the CSD-INACET03 X-ray structure. Within 300 and $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the Raman bands corresponding to inplane deformation mode of $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{11}$, rocking mode of $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{(2)}$, in-plane deformation mode of $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ were very similar to the measured ones. Within 2800 y $3600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ the higher difference between calculated and measured wavenumbers were found for CCT-CCT, $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}_{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}_{-}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ dimers.

By deconvolution of the corresponding band to $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ in the infrared spectrum, we observed the existence of two peaks (Fig. 7a). According to our theoretical results the $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{CCT}-\mathrm{CCT}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$ dimers con-


Fig. 7 Band deconvolution for the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching mode in IAA at 297 K (a) and the theoretical contributions of IAA dimers (b)
tribute to the first band, and the $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ dimer to the second and more intense band (Fig. 7b). The results suggest that our sample is made of large domains in which only one of the conformers is present. This spectral region is considered as characteristic and fundamental for use in the study of the conformational equilibrium, thus indicating a conformational equilibrium involving those non-equivalent IAA dimers $[23,26]$ and thus according with a polycrystalline sample.

## Conclusions

Theoretical studies on dimers of $1 H$-indole-3-acetic acid were performed to investigate the conformational properties and vibrational spectra. Experimental infrared spectra at 100 K and 297 K and Raman spectrum at 297 K was analyzed and compared against ab-initio calculations performed at B3LYP level. The assignment of the vibrational modes of the IAA, calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G** level, was shown, and discussed as well.

The conformational space of IAA monomeric molecule and X-ray data were utilized to obtain the geometry of the lowest-energy IAA dimers. Four dimeric conformers were obtained and classified. In stability order they are: CCT$\mathrm{CCT}, \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}$, and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{CT}-\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{CT}$ dimers. The analysis of the experimental infrared and Raman data performed by comparison against theoretical calculation showed a conformational equilibrium at 297 K involving the theoretically predicted dimeric forms that contribute distinctively to the assignments of the experimental results.

We were able to identify the modes mainly affected by conformational changes and dimerization. We showed that dimerization increase $\mathrm{O}_{10}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angles and that consequently blue shifted vibrational frequencies of related bending modes were found. Also we showed the decrease (increase) of $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{11}\left(\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ bond length upon dimerization and the consequent blue (red) shifting of related stretching vibrational frequencies. We detect modes that allowed us to distinguish between conformers belonging to "series A" (clinal array) from the others belonging to "series C" (periplanar array), in other words we characterize modes which are more sensitive to conformational and geometrical aspects like the values of $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{O}_{10}$ dihedral angle. Moreover, we found that the mode $\nu \mathrm{OH}$ allows to differentiate between the conformers within "series $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ " $\left(\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}\right.$ and $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{T}-$ $\mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{T}$ dimers), and the mode $v \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ allows to distinguish the conformer CCT-CCT from all the other dimers. We also show that the dual role of the OH moiety in IAA dimers leads to the highest red-shifting in the stretching frequency of OH moiety. Work along a deep characterization of
hydrogen bonding interactions present in dimeric systems is in progress in our laboratories.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The theoretical calculated and the corresponding wavenumber assignments are in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculated infrared and Raman wavenumbers are scaled by a factor of 0.96
    ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Abbreviations: m , medium; s , strong; w, weak; v, very; $v$, stretching; $\gamma$, out-of-plane bending; $\delta$, in-plane bending; $\tau$, torsion; sciss, scissoring; rock, rocking; wag, wagging; twist, twisting; 5 R , five-membered indole ring; 6 R , six-membered indole ring

[^2]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The theoretical wavenumbers are in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Labeling according to Fig. 5
    ${ }^{c}$ Abbreviations: $\nu$, stretching; $\gamma$, out-of-plane bending; $\delta$, in-plane bending; sciss, scissoring; twist, twisting
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Calculated Raman wavenumbers are scaled by a factor of 0.96

